

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,045	0	7/12/2001	John W. Butcher	20709	1941
210	7590	10/20/2003		EXAM	INER
MERCK A	ND CO IN	1C	CEPERLEY, MARY		
P O BOX 20	000				
RAHWAY, NJ 070650907			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ				1641	7
				DATE MAILED: 10/20/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

el.		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•		09/904,045	BUTCHER ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
		Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley	1641					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status 1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)⊠		· s action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 5-27 is/are pending in the application	,						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>5-27</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	niner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,					
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)[13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notic 2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/904,045

Art Unit: 1641

1) The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2) Claims 5-27 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over a) Baldwin et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,633,247) taken in combination with each of b) Chadwick et al (Circulation Research, Vol. 72, No. 3, page 707 (1993)), Fiset et al (J. Mol. Cell Cardiol., Vol. 28, page 1085 (1996)), Geonzon et al (J. Mol. Cell Cardiol., Vol. 30, page 1691 (1998), or Duff et al (Circulation Research, Vol. 77, page 718 (1995) and with c) Dean et al (Synthesis and Applications of Isotopically Labeled Compounds, Paper 140 (1994)) for the reasons set forth in paragraph 6) of the April 18, 2003 Office action.

Applicants' arguments filed July 21, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants basically argue that the radiolabel ³⁵S "provides the high specific activity (>100 Ci/mmol) that is essential for an assay with adequate sensitivity" which would not be provided by either ¹²⁵I or ³H radiolabels. However, Dean et al specifically compare the characteristics of all three types of labels and state at page 796:

"The high specific activity of sulfur-35 (maximum 1500 Ci/mmol), combined with a beta-emission of 0.167 MeV, a half-life of 87.4 days, and the potential to exist in a variety of oxidation states, make it <u>an ideal alternative</u> to tritiated and [125I]iodinated compounds." (Emphasis added.)

Thus, Dean et al specifically suggest ³⁵S as a superior radiolabel for sulfonamides used as receptor binding probes (see the SUMMARY). The alleged improvement of using a ³⁵S radiolabel in a sulfonamide receptor binding probe is, in fact, an <u>expected</u> (obvious) result in view of the combined teachings of the prior art.

It is further noted that given the teaching of Dean et al that ³⁵S is a superior radiolabel for use in sulfonamide probe compounds, one skilled in the art would not in any way be motivated to introduce an unrelated/extraneous moiety such as an iodine radiolabel to the basic sulfonamide structure. The examiner agrees with the point made in the July 21, 2003 Remarks (page 4, last paragraph) that the introduction of an iodine atom might be expected to "severely compromise binding affinity at the targeted"

Application/Control Number: 09/904,045

\ Art Unit: 1641

protein, and adversely affect physiochemical properties". Similarly, Dean et al address the reason for using radiolabels other than tritium ("for cases in which specific activity beyond the upper limit of multiple tritium (25-100 Ci/mmol) are required") and specifically address the improvement accruing to the use of a ³⁵S label in sulfonamide compounds. As in the instant invention, the method of Dean et al "required high specific activity (>1000 Ci/mmol) sulfur-35 labeled sulfonamides for use as extremely sensitive receptor binding probes" (page 796, first paragraph).

3) **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley whose telephone number is (703) 308-4239. The examiner can normally be reached from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long V. Le, can be reached on (703) 305-3399. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556 or (703) 305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,045

Art Unit: 1641

October 16, 2003

Page 4

Mary E. Ceperley
Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1641